

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/655,996	WINTEROWD ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1732

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Victor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Randall reference still applies under 102(e) over the product claims*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Randall*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested restricting the product by process claims from the process claims which were deemed allowable in order to advance prosecution. Applicant's representative agreed and elected the process claims reserving the right to file for a divisional application/s..